IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CRIMINAL NUMBER: 17-412
V5.
)
JOSE R. FLORES)
MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA
COMES NOW the defendant, Jose B. Flores,
in Propriz persona, who respectfully motions This
Honorable Court, Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. 11 (d)(2)(B),
to grant defendant the withdrawal of his Guilty Plea.
In support thereof, Defendant Submits as follows:
1.) On December 6, 2018 Defendant appeared
before this court, wherein he was represented by his
Court appointed counsel, GAVIN P. HOLIHAN, ESQUITE.
2.) Dorring-Said, December 6, 2018, Appearance,
PT The Strong suggestion OF his counsel, Defendant
entered into 2 Guilty plea Agreement, which this
Court Accepted.
3.) Defendant was permitted no more than
thirty (30) minutes in order to read the "CoverRNments
PLEA Memorandum" and "GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT".
ON December 6, 2018, Prior to entering into
Said agreement before the Court.
- \-

4.) That Defendant Signed, and accepted.
the Plea Agreement herein based upon his court
Appointed Counsel's Uroings, and Sain counsel's
insinuation that acceptance of the Plea Agreement
Was Defendant's only hopes IN EVER SEEING
Freedom again.
5) Since Defendant Appeared before this
Court on December 6, 2018 Defendant has had
the opportunity to research (through the
assistance of an inmate boused in the same
JAIL as Defendant) Many of Defense
Counsel's Claims IN reference to Defendants
Case and Sentencing possibilities.
6.) Additionally, Defendant has had
the apportunity to write to Defense counsel
to ASCERTAIN THE TRUTH and VALIDITY OF
Some of Said Defense Counsel's Statements
that Specifically resulted in Defendant
Accepting the plea Agreement
7.) Brosed upon Defense Counsel's
responses to Defendant, as well as said
Coursel's Statements to Defendant's family
Member Since December 6, 2018, Defendant
Fully Believes that he was Deliberately
Misled and lied to by Defense counsel
IN order to INDUCE him INTO entering into
A Plea OF GUILTY.

8.) That in addition to Defense coursel's Deliberate Misrepresentation OF Possible Sentence BANGES, Defense course! Also Deliberately Misled Defendant in reference to A SEARCH WARRANT (which course first Claimed did not exist, and even presented defendant with a "Motion to Suppress" that Stated NO Sparch warrant existed). Initially, Counsell Zalvised Defendant No Search warrant existed, However, in order to INDUCE Defendant into pleading guilty, Coursel then claimed that the search warrant did 9.) That Based upon counsel's Continuousli Conflicting comments, innacurate statements as to sentencing possibilities, outright Hostility towards Defendant and Defendant's Family, and Defense Counsel's complete DIS-INTEREST IN representing Defendant in this case, (as stated by said counsel), Defendant has Lost All faith in Said Counsel's Ability to represent him in these matters. 10.) Defendant Asserts his innocence IN this case and asserts that were it wor For Defense Counsel's Misleading and

	deliberately inaccurate statements to Defendant
	and Defendant's family, Defendant would
	and Detendants things areement.
	Not have Accepted the plea agreement.
	I WESTERSE TO THE FORESTICE
2 0.	WHEREFORE, Based upon the Foregoing,
	teasons, Defendant Requests THAT he be permitted
	to withdraw his plea OF GUILT, FOR FAIR AND
	Just Beasons, Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim
	11(d)(2)(B). Additionally, Defendant requests
	Appointment of New Counsel To represent
	him in these proceedings.
	3
	DATED: Jeh 1, 2019 BY: 1 Jose Horrs
	JOSE R. FLORES
	JOSE R. FLORES DEFENDANT, Pro-se #192835
	Lehigh County Jaic. 38 N. 4Th St.
	38 %, 4th 5t.
	Allentown, PA 18:102
	·
	Ц-

~

, , 2₄

.